**LEGISLATIVE UPDATE EXERCISE**

1. “Special care shall be taken to avoid obstructing views to the surrounding hills” would be considered objective enough for:
2. HAA
3. SB 35
4. Both HAA and SB 35
5. Neither
6. If an objective standard is not clear for the HAA, what standard will a court use?
7. Whoever provides the most evidence will win
8. If the city has enough evidence to allow a reasonable person to agree with them, they will win
9. If the developer has enough evidence to allow a reasonable person to agree with them, they will win
10. How long does a city have to identify all inconsistencies with objectives standards for the HAA for a 120 unit development?
11. 30 days
12. 60 days
13. 90 days
14. 120 days
15. How long does a city have to complete their review under SB 35 for a 40 unit development?
16. 60 days
17. 90 days
18. 120 days
19. 180 days
20. A mixed use housing project (50% condos and 50% hotel) can qualify for:
21. HAA
22. SB 35
23. Both HAA and SB 35
24. Neither
25. If a city produces a lot of housing this year, can they petition HCD to take them off the SB 35 list?
26. Yes
27. No
28. When would it be ok for a city to require a project that meets all objective criteria to also meet subjective design review standards?
29. HAA
30. SB 35
31. Both HAA and SB 35
32. Neither
33. If a city met its RHNA for above market rate units but not below, what level of affordability must a development provide to use SB 35?
34. 5%
35. 10%
36. 50%
37. 100%
38. What AMI does SB 35 target?
39. 50%
40. 60%
41. 80%
42. 100%
43. What is the consequence if a city does not update its ADU ordinance to meet the new state law?
44. No consequence is specified
45. A homeowner can petition a court for approval
46. The next housing element will not be approved

Answers

1. D
2. C
3. A
4. B
5. D
6. A\*
7. A
8. C
9. C
10. D

\*currently the case but will soon change

1. The entire ADU ordinance is null and void